## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Collection Agency License of:

No. 09F-BD067-BNK

OXFORD COLLECTION AGENCY, INC. AND CHARLES HARRIS, VICE PRESIDENT 135 Maxess Road

CONSENT ORDER

Melville, NY 11747

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

26

Respondents.

On June 19, 2009, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ("Department") issued an Amended Notice of Hearing to Revoke and Complaint, alleging that Respondents had violated Arizona law. Wishing to resolve this matter in lieu of an administrative hearing, Respondents consent to the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consent to the entry of the following Order.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent Oxford Collection Agency, Inc. ("Oxford") is a New York corporation authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq. The nature of Oxford's business is that of soliciting claims for collection and collection of claims owed, due or asserted to be owed or due within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1001(2)(a).
- 2. Respondent Charles Harris ("Mr. Harris") is the Vice President and Active Manager of Oxford. Mr. Harris is authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq.
- Neither Oxford nor Mr. Harris are exempt from licensure as a collection agency 3. within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1004.
- 4. On June 4, 2007, the Department sent Oxford notice that an examination of Oxford would commence at the Department on or about August 17, 2007. The notice requested certain records and information be forwarded to the Department. Oxford did not respond to the request.

26 || . .

- 5. On November 2, 2007, the Department's examiner, Jack Watson ("Mr. Watson") called Mr. Harris regarding the examination and faxed him the notice of examination and licensee questionnaire.
- 6. Mr. Watson spoke with Mr. Harris and the examination was rescheduled for January 10, 2008. Again, Oxford failed to respond to the Department's request for information in order to conduct the examination.
- 7. On January 29, 2008, Mr. Watson telephoned Mr. Harris regarding the examination and the Department's requests for information that had been ignored by Oxford. Again, Mr. Watson faxed the notice of examination and licensee questionnaire to Respondents.
- 8. Because of Mr. Harris' unresponsiveness, Mr. Watson placed a second call to Mr. Harris on May 15, 2008 and re-faxed the notice of examination and licensee questionnaire to Respondents. At that point, Mr. Harris indicated the examination documents would be received by the Department by mid-August.
- 9. On September 8, 2008, Mr. Watson telephoned Mr. Harris and Randall Silver ("Mr. Silver"), Chief Financial Officer and left messages for both. Mr. Watson again faxed the notice of examination and licensee questionnaire to Respondents.
- 10. On September 11, 2008, Mr. Silver telephoned Mr. Watson and asked him to again re-fax the documents that previously had been sent to Respondents five (5) times.
- 11. On February 3, 2009, the Department issued and served upon Respondents an Order to Cease and Desist; Notice of Opportunity for Hearing; Consent to Entry of Order ("Cease and Desist Order"). The return receipt (green card) indicating delivery of the Cease and Desist order was signed by Respondents on February 6, 2009. The Cease and Desist Order was also forwarded to Respondents' statutory agent, who signed for receipt on February 4, 2009.
- 12. The Cease and Desist Order imposed upon Respondents a civil money penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00).

- 13. The Cease and Desist Order provided Respondents an opportunity to appeal the Order within thirty (30) days of service. Respondents did not file a request for hearing in order to appeal the Cease and Desist Order, nor did they remit the civil money penalty to the Department or provide to the Department the records and information requested.
- 14. Based upon Respondents' failure to act upon the Cease and Desist Order, the Cease and Desist Order became a final Order on March 11, 2009.
- 15. On March 23, 2009, Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent at the Department, sent Respondents a letter notifying them of the final Cease and Desist Order.
- 16. In late April of 2009, Respondents finally provided the records the Department had been requesting since June 4, 2007.
- 17. On May 6, 2009, Mr. Watson received the licensee questionnaire from Respondents via e-mail.
- 18. On May 18, 2009, at the end of the examination which commenced on May 15, 2009, Mr. Watson requested Respondents' current financial statement from Mr. Silver, who had forwarded the examination documents to the Department. Mr. Watson received the financial statement on May 28, 2009.
- 19. Respondents have failed to pay the \$5,000 civil money penalty in violation of the Superintendent's Final Order dated February 3, 2009.
- 20. Mr. Watson's examination, conducted May 15, 2009 through May 18, 2009, revealed the following violations:
  - a. Respondents failed to timely make available to the Department all books and records for inspection and examination by the Superintendent or her examiners, by refusing to allow the Department to conduct an examination of Oxford pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-122(B)(3); and
  - b. Respondents failed to maintain all records required under A.A.C. R20-4-1504 and failed to timely make them available for examination, investigation or audit in

 $_{26} \|$ .

Arizona within three (3) working days after the Superintendent demanded the records.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Pursuant to Title 32, Chapter 9 of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Superintendent is charged with the duty to regulate all persons engaged in the collection agency business and with the enforcement of statutes, rules and regulations relating to collection agencies.
- 2. By the conduct set forth in the Complaint, Respondents have violated statutes and rules governing collection agents as follows:
  - a. A.R.S. § 6-124(C), by refusing to allow the Department to conduct an examination of Oxford pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-122(B)(3); and
  - b. A.A.C. R20-4-1504(D), by failing to maintain all records required under A.A.C. R20-4-1504 and failing to timely make them available for examination, investigation or audit in Arizona within three (3) working days after the Superintendent demands the records.
- 3. Respondents violated the February 3, 2009 Superintendent's Final Order by failing to produce the records in a timely manner and by failing to pay the \$5,000 Civil Money Penalty.
- 4. Respondents' violation of the February 3, 2009 Superintendent's Final Order constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondents' collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(3).
- 5. Respondents' failure to timely respond to the Superintendent's request for information constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 6-123(3) and 6-124(C), which constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondents' collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(3).
- 6. Respondents failure to conduct their collection agency business in accordance with the law, constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondents' collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(3).

- 7. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132, Respondents' violations of the aforementioned statutes are grounds for a civil penalty of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) for each violation for each day.
- The violations set forth above constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Respondents to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Respondents' license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053; (4) an order to pay restitution of any fees earned in violation of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, *et seq.*, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-131(A)(3) and 6-137; and (5) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating collection agents pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. Oxford and Mr. Harris shall immediately stop the violations set forth in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Oxford and Mr. Harris:
  - a. Shall allow the Department to conduct future examinations of Oxford pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-122(B)(3); and
  - b. Shall maintain all records required under A.A.C. R20-4-1504 and timely make them available for examination, investigation or audit in Arizona within three (3) working days after the Superintendent demands the records.
- 2. Oxford and Mr. Harris shall comply with all Arizona statutes and rules regulating Arizona collection agencies (A.R.S. §§ 32-1001 et seq.).
- 3. Oxford and Mr. Harris shall immediately pay to the Department a civil money penalty in the amount of **eight thousand dollars** (\$8,000.00). Oxford and Mr. Harris are jointly and severally liable for payment of the civil money penalty.
  - 4. Oxford and Mr. Harris shall immediately pay to the Department the examination fee in

the amount of **one thousand, one hundred five dollars (\$1,105.00)**, pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-122(B)(3), plus any applicable late fees pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-125(D).

- 5. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Oxford and Mr. Harris, their employees, agents, and other persons participating in the conduct of the affairs of Oxford Collection Agency, Inc.
- 6. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Oxford and Mr. Harris, and resolves the Notice of Hearing to Revoke and Complaint, subject to Respondents' compliance with the requirements of this Order, as well as all other statutes and rules regulating collection agencies.
- 7. This Order shall become effective upon service, and shall remain effective and enforceable until such time as, and except to the extent that, it shall be stayed, modified, terminated, or set aside.

SO ORDERED this 2114 day of 41

\_, 2009.

ву:

Felecia A. Rotellini

Superintendent of Financial Institutions

# CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondents acknowledge that they have been served with a copy of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the above-referenced matter, have read the same, are aware of their right to an administrative hearing in this matter, and have waived the same.
- 2. Respondents admit the jurisdiction of the Superintendent and consent to the entry of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.
- 3. Respondents state that no promise of any kind or nature has been made to induce them to consent to the entry of this Order, and that they have done so voluntarily.
- 4. Respondents agree to cease from engaging in the violative conduct set forth above in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
  - 5. Respondents acknowledge that the acceptance of this Agreement by the Superintendent is

- 1		
1	solely to settle this matter and does not preclude this Department, any other agency or officer of this	
2	state or subdivision thereof from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate in the future.	
3	6. Charles Harris, on behalf of Oxford Collection Agency, Inc. and himself, represents that	
4	he is the Vice President and Active Manager, and that, as such, has been authorized by Oxford	
5	Collection Agency, Inc. to consent to the entry of this Order on its behalf.	
6	7. Respondents waive all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest	
7	the validity of this Order.	
8	DATED this 16th day of Joly, 2009.	
9		
10	By Chale Harris	
11	Charles Harris, Vice President and Active Manager Oxford Collection Agency, Inc.	
12		
13		
14	ODIGRAM SIL SIL SIL SIL	
15	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this, 2009, in the office of:	
16	Felecia A. Rotellini	
17	Superintendent of Financial Institutions Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ATTN: Susan Longo 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310	
18		
19	Phoenix, AZ 85018	
20	COPY mailed same date to:	
21	Thomas Shedden, Administrative Law Judge	
22	Office of the Administrative Hearings 1400 West Washington, Suite 101	
23	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
24	Craig A. Raby, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General	
25	1275 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007	

1	Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent Jack E. Watson, Senior Examiner
2	Arizona Department of Financial Institutions 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310
3	Phoenix, AZ 85018
4	AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:
5	
6	Charles Harris, Vice President & Active Manager Oxford Collection Agency, Inc. 135 Maxess Road
7	Melville, NY 11747 Respondents
8	
9	Corporation Service Company, Statutory Agent for: Oxford Collection Agency, Inc. 2338 W. Royal Palm Rd., Ste. J
10	Phoenix, AZ 85021
11	Susan Longo
12	494894, PHX-AGN-2009-0439
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	